



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION  
C/O HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP  
P. O. BOX 203518  
AUSTIN, TX 78720

**COPY MAILED**

AUG 02 2007

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Rabindranath Dutta :  
Application No. 09/740,461 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: December 18, 2000 :  
Attorney Docket No. :  
AUS920000722US1

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 16, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action, mailed January 4, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time were obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Accordingly, the application became abandoned on April 5, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 21, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the required reply in the form of a request for continued examination, \$790 filing fee, and submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114, (2) the petition fee of \$1,500, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the failure to timely reply to the final Office action of January 4, 2005 is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63,

103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2178 for processing the request for continued examination and for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 received November 16, 2006.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.



Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions